Exhibit A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 4 5 CONSIST SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS, INC., 6 Plaintiff. 7 VS. 8 SOFTWARE AG, INC. and SOFTWARE AG 9 Defendant. 10 11 November 5, 2007 12 10:14 a.m. 13 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 15 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 16 17 Deposition of NATALIO S. FRIDMAN, held 18 at the offices of Baker & McKenzie, 1114 19 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 20 10036, before David Henry, a Certified 21 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the 22 State of New York. 23 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

2

10

17

19

1

13

14

15

Page 74

- Fridman CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
- 2 and at that time was not material either.
- And to avoid misunderstanding for the 3
- future, because we cannot afford to have 4
- misunderstanding with a partner, we do the 5
- reporting of the client. And this was
- 6
- 1995, okay, that was signed after 1995, 7
- 8 after start date, you know.
- 9 Q. Sir, just to clarify the record,
- 10 you say in your testimony, quote, because
- we cannot afford to have misunderstanding 11
- with a partner, we do the reporting of the 12
- client. End of quote from your transcript. 13
- A. When was that, now? 14
- 15 O. Just now.
- A. No, because the reporting, they 16
- say was incomplete, the reporting. 17
- 18 O. Yes.
- A. So we took out all reporting in 19
- 20 the contract.
- 21 Q. In which contract, sir?
 - A. The contract, 1995, you know,
- 23 further on.

22

6

- 24 Q. I refer you to - let's look at
- Exhibit number 4 then. And I would direct 25

1 Fridman - CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 76

Page 77

- A. Yes.
- 3 O. Did you ever tell anyone at SAG,
- 4 and that includes SAGA, in sum or substance
- 5 that the 98 agreement was an evergreen
- 6 contract, terminable only at limited times
- 7 for specific reasons with detailed notice
- 8 requirements?
- 9 A. Again, please.
 - Q. I'd be happy to. Did you ever
- 11 tell anyone at SAG, and that includes SAGA,
- in sum or substance that the '98 agreement 12
- 13 was an evergreen contract, terminable only
- 14 at limited times for specific reasons with
- 15 detailed notice requirements?
- 16 A. Not for the purpose.
 - Q. You did not for a purpose, is
- 18 that correct?
 - A. I don't for a purpose. The
- purpose was first of all I was thinking to 20
- 21 make, to work together, always thinking in
- 22 partnership. Remember we're working with
- 23 Software AG, C19, the exclusive
- 24 distributor, C1975. Our name is very, very
- 25 related to their name, so I didn't want to

Page 75

- Fridman CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
- you to paragraph on page 3, paragraph 5, 2
- subparagraph 3. Exhibit 4, sir, Exhibit 3
- 4 4. The 95 agreement.
- 5 A. Yes, Exhibit 4.
 - Q. Would you please direct yourself
- to paragraph 5, subparagraph 3 on page 3. 7
- 8 And tell me when you get there.
- 9 A. I have it.
- 10 O. All right, and would you look at
- the second paragraph under subparagraph 3, 11
- please. And I ask you the following 12
- 13 question. Does that not reflect your
- recollection that in fact under the 95 14
- 15 agreement Consist had a reporting
- requirement? 16
- A. Okay, I don't recall that. Maybe 17
- 18 if this is the case, we did it, okay? I
- 19 don't recall that.
- (Recess taken.) 20
- FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. JACOBS: 21
- Q. Mr. Fridman, before the break we 22
- 23 were talking about the 98 agreement being
- 24 an evergreen agreement. Do you recall
- 25 that?

- Fridman CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
- 2 have any -- sue, any legal things, so I
- 3 want to make agreement with them, okay? I
- 4 want to agree in substance, okay? That's
- 5 all. So I never told them, for this reason
- and for the other reason I don't have to 6
- 7 told them. Our company is very, very
- 8 connected with Software AG in the
- 9 territory, over now 35 years already.
- 10 Q. Have you personally ever entered 11 into other software distribution agreements
- 12 with companies other than SAG?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How many?
 - A. A few. And all of them, they
- 16 have similar conditions. I copy from
- 17 Software AG, singular.
- 18 Q. And in your view, all those other
- 19 agreements are evergreen?
- 20 A. No. There is not a relationship
- 21 with them so much, you know? Because with
- 22 Software AG, our name was very related to
- 23 them. The other one, maybe I don't know
- 24 if, we like to be with them afterwards.
- 25 Q. So when you say the agreements